

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

ANTONIO BROWN,

Defendant.

CRIMINAL ACTION FILE NO.

1:20-CR-288-MHC-AJB

**ORDER FOR SERVICE OF
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

The Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) of the United States Magistrate Judge made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), N.D. Ga. CrR 59(2)(A), and Standing Order 14-02 (N.D. Ga. Aug. 15, 2014), has been filed. The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to serve upon counsel for the parties and directly upon any unrepresented parties a copy of the R&R and a copy of this Order.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), each party may file written objections to the R&R within **fourteen (14)** days of service of this Order. Should objections be filed, they shall specify with particularity the alleged error(s) made (including reference by page number to the transcript if applicable) and be served upon the opposing party. *See United States v. Gaddy*, 894 F.2d 1307, 1315 (11th Cir. 1990). The party filing objections will be responsible for obtaining and filing the transcript of any evidentiary hearing for review by the District Court. **Failure to object in**

accordance with this rule waives a party's right to review by the District Court, and on appeal, the Court of Appeals will deem waived any challenge to factual and legal findings to which there was no objection, subject to interests-of-justice plain error review. See *United States v. Castaneda*, __ F.3d __, ___, 2021 WL 1997260, at *3 (11th Cir. May 19, 2021); *Harrigan v. Metro Dade Police Dep't Station #4*, 977 F.3d 1185, 1191-92 (11th Cir. 2020); Fed. R. Crim. P. 59(b)(2); *see also* 11th Cir. R. 3-1 (“A party failing to object to a magistrate judge’s findings or recommendations . . . waives the right to challenge on appeal the district court’s order based on unobjected-to factual and legal conclusions if the party was informed of the time period for objecting and the consequences on appeal for failing to object.”).

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(H), **the above-referenced fourteen (14) days allowed for filing objections is EXCLUDED from the computation of time under the Speedy Trial Act (“the Act”), whether or not objections are actually filed.** If objections to this R&R are filed, the Clerk is **DIRECTED to EXCLUDE** from the computation of time all time between the filing of the R&R and the submission of the R&R, along with any objections, responses and replies thereto, to the District Judge. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(D), (H); *Henderson v. United States*, 476 U.S. 321, 331 (1986); *United States v. Mers*,

701 F.2d 1321, 1337 (11th Cir. 1983). The Clerk is **DIRECTED** to submit the R&R with objections, if any, to the District Court after expiration of the above time period.

IT IS SO ORDERED and DIRECTED, this 11th day of August , 2021.